Cycloartane Glycosides from Three Species of Astragalus (Fabaceae)

by Jens Linnek^a), Anne-Claire Mitaine-Offer^a), Tomofumi Miyamoto^b), Chiaki Tanaka^b), ${\bf Thomas~Paululat^c)}$, Sibel Avunduk ${\rm d)}$, Özgen Alankuş-Çalişkan $^{\rm e}$), and Marie-Aleth Lacaille-Dubois $^{*{\rm a}}$)

^a) Laboratoire de Pharmacognosie, Unité de Molécules d'Intérêt Biologique (UMIB EA 3660), Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Bourgogne, 7 Bd. Jeanne D'Arc, BP 87900, F-21079 Dijon Cedex (phone: +33-3-80393229; fax: +33-3-80393300; e-mail: m-a.lacaille-dubois@u-bourgogne.fr)

b) Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

c) Department of Organic Chemistry II, University of Siegen, Adolf-Reichwein-Strasse 2, D-57068 Siegen

^d) Medical Laboratory Programme, Vocational School of Health Care, Mugla University, Mugla, 48187 Turkey

e) Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Ege University 2, Bornova, Izmir, 35100 Turkey

Nine cycloartane-type glycosides were isolated from three species of the genus Astragalus (Fabaceae): From the aerial parts of A. cicer L., two new saponins, cicerosides A and B (1 and 2, resp.), i.e., a tetradesmosidic and tridesmosidic cycloartane-type glycosides besides one known compound, from the roots of A. sempervirens LAM., one known saponin, and from the roots of A. ptilodes Boiss. var. cariensis Boiss., five known compounds. Their structures were established mainly by 600-MHz 2D-NMR techniques (1 H,1 H-COSY, TOCSY, NOESY, HSQC, and HMBC) and mass spectroscopy.

Introduction. – The genus Astragalus contains more than 2000 species and represents one of the largest genus in the family of Fabaceae. It is widely distributed in the world of which ca. 70 species have been found in the Mediterranean region [1]. Some of them are well-known for their pharmacological properties, particularly hepatoprotective, immunostimulant, and antiviral activities [2]. Many phytochemical studies on Astragalus genus were realized bringing out the presence of saponins with cycloartane-type genins [1] [3]. In our continuing search for bioactive saponins from the genus Astragalus [3], we report in this article the isolation and characterization of two new together with seven known cycloartane-type saponins from the aerial parts of A. cicer L., from the roots of A. sempervirens Lam., and from the roots of A. ptilodes Boiss. var. cariensis Boiss. Up to now, no phytochemical investigation of the species A. cicer L. and A. ptilodes Boiss. var. cariensis Boiss. has been made with the aim to search for saponins. A. sempervirens Lam. has already been the object of a phytochemical work, as we recently reported [3], bringing out the known compound trojanoside H previously isolated from A. trojanus STEV. [4].

Results and Discussion. – The air-dried and powdered aerial parts of A. cicer L. were subjected to a maceration with MeOH and then to reflux in MeOH. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated, the residue dissolved in H_2O , and the solution successively partitioned with petroleum ether, CH_2Cl_2 , and BuOH saturated with H₂O.

 $©$ 2011 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

The BuOH fraction was purified by column chromatography (CC Sephadex LH-20, with MeOH), reversed-phase flash chromatography (FC; SiO, $RP-18$; CombiFlash), and several normal- and reversed-phase medium-pressure liquid chromatographies (MPLC) to give 1 and 2 (see Fig.) and the known compound eremophiloside B $(=(3\beta,6\alpha,16\beta,24R)-16-(\text{acetyloxy})-24-hydroxy-25-(\beta-D-xylopyranosyloxy)-9,19-cyclo$ lanostane-3,6-diyl bis[6-deoxy- α -L-mannopyranoside]) [5], isolated previously from A. eremophilus Boiss.

Figure. Compounds 1 and 2, isolated from Astragalus cicer L.

The dried and powdered roots of A. sempervirens Lam. were extracted in the same way as A. cicer L. A part of the BuOH fraction was purified by successive chromatographic steps on normal- and reversed-phase $SiO₂$ affording the known saponin 3-O-[a-L-arabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-xylopyranosyl]-25-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl-3 β ,6 α ,16 β ,25-tetrahydroxy-20(R),24(S)-epoxycycloartane (=(3 β ,6 α ,16 β ,20R,24S)- $20,24$ -epoxy- $25-(\beta$ -p-glucopyranosyloxy)-6,16-dihydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-3-yl 2-O- α -L-arabinopyranosyl- β -D-xylopyranoside) [6], which has been isolated before from A. campylosema Boiss. ssp. campylosema.

The dried and powdered roots of A. *ptilodes* Boiss. var. *cariensis* Boiss. were extracted with MeOH at room temperature, the extract evaporated, the residue dissolved in H_2O , and the solution successively partitioned with hexane, $CHCl₃$, and BuOH saturated with H_2O . A part of the BuOH fraction was submitted to vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC; polyamide), further purified by a reversed-phase FC $(SiO₂ RP-18)$ and several separations by normal-phase MPLC $(SiO₂)$ to give the five known compounds astragaloside I [7] and astragaloside VII [8], both previously isolated from A. *membranaceus* BUNGE, cyclosiversioside $A[9]$, and cyclosiversiosides E and $F[10][11]$ which have been isolated before from A. sieversianus PALL. All these known compounds were isolated for the first time in the three studied species.

The structures of 1 and 2 were determined by spectroscopic methods including 1Dand 2D-NMR experiments (¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (*Tables 1* and 2), HSQC, HMBC, COSY, TOCSY, and ROESY) in combination with ESI- and HR-ESI-MS. The monosaccharides obtained by acid hydrolysis of each compound were identified by comparison on TLC with authentic samples as glucose, xylose, and rhamnose for both molecules. The absolute configurations of the sugars were determined to be D for glucose and xylose, and L for rhamnose, by GC analysis (see Exper. Part). In the 1Dand 2D-NMR spectra of compounds 1 and 2, the relatively large $\frac{3J(H-C(1),H-C(2))}{2}$ values of the anomeric H-atom signals of glucose and xylose in their pyranose form (8.1 and 7.3, or 7.7 Hz, resp.), indicated a β -orientation [12]. The broad s of the anomeric Hatoms and the large $^1\!J(\mathrm{H--C(1)}$,C(1)) values of the rhamnose (165 – 168 Hz), confirmed that the anomeric H-atoms were equatorial (α -pyranoid anomeric form) [12].

	1		$\boldsymbol{2}$	
	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$
CH ₂ (1)	29.8	$(1.44^{\rm a})$, $(2.03^{\rm a})$	b)	b)
CH ₂ (2)	29.3	$(1.77a)$, $(2.15a)$	\mathbf{b})	b)
$H-C(3)$	88.2	3.30 $(dd, J=11.3, 4.0)$	83.8	$4.17a$)
C(4)	41.9		41.7	
$H-C(5)$	51.8	$1.55^{\rm a}$)	52.2	3.31(s)
$H-C(6)$ or $C(6)$	79.4	3.52 (dt, $J = 6.0, 2.0$)	212.4	
CH ₂ (7)	32.2	(1.19 ^a), 1.55 ^a)	41.9	$2.33b$)
$H-C(8)$	45.9	1.37 (br. $dd, J = 14, 3.4$)	42.9	2.65 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 4.0)
C(9)	20.9		b)	
C(10)	28.7		b)	
CH ₂ (11)	25.9	(1.19 ^a), 1.90 ^a)	26.5	$(1.95^a), (1.98^a)$
CH ₂ (12)	32.7	$1.59a$, $1.66a$)	33.2	$(1.65^a), (2.07^a)$
C(13)	46.5		46.2	
C(14)	47.1		47.8	
CH ₂ (15)	46.0	$1.70a$), 2.37 (dd, J = 13.3, 8.5)	46.5	$(1.60^a), (1.99^a)$
$H-C(16)$	75.9	$5.57 - 5.61$ (<i>m</i>)	71.5	$4.82a$)
$H - C(17)$	55.6	$1.98a$)	56.4	1.84 (dd, $J = 10.7, 7.5$)
Me(18)	18.7	1.19(s)	16.0	1.30(s)
CH ₂ (19)	30.7	0.36, 0.49 $(2d, J = 3.2)$	\mathfrak{b})	\mathbf{b}
$H - C(20)$	31.7	$1.92a$)	32.3	$2.17 - 2.20$ (m)
Me(21)	18.5	1.04 $(d, J=6.0)$	18.6	1.01 $(d, J=6.4)$
CH ₂ (22)	33.4	$(1.15^a), (2.30^a)$	33.8	$(1.35^a), (2.53^a)$
CH ₂ (23)	34.4	$(1.44^a), (2.01^a)$	29.2	(1.57) , $2.02)$
$H - C(24)$	86.1	3.92 $(d, J = 9.7)$	87.2	3.99a)
C(25)	82.2		82.1	
Me(26)	24.6	1.51(s)	24.6	1.46 (s)
Me(27)	20.0	1.67(s)	19.9	1.62(s)
Me(28)	17.0	1.00(s)	15.3	1.16(s)
Me(29)	28.6	1.10(s)	27.3	1.55(s)
Me(30)	20.0	0.90(s)	18.5	1.22(s)
$AcO-C(16)$	170.7, 21.5	2.10(s)		
		a) Overlapped signals are reported without designated multiplicity. $\frac{b}{c}$ Not determined.		

Table 1. ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR Data (150 and 600 MHz, resp. (D_5) pyridine) of the Aglycone Maoiety of Compounds 1 and 2, from 1D- and 2D-NMR Experiments. δ in ppm, J in Hz.

Ciceroside A (1) was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula of 1 was deduced as $C_{55}H_{92}O_{23}$ on the basis of HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode) exhibiting the $[M + Na]^+$ peak at m/z 1143.5932 (calc. 1143.5927). It was confirmed by

	1		$\boldsymbol{2}$		
	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	
$3-O-Rha$ (Rha I):					
$H - C(1)$	104.6	5.28 (br. s)	104.7	5.35 (br. s)	
$H - C(2)$	72.3	4.56 (br. s)	72.3	4.58 (dd, $J = 3.2, 1.6$)	
$H - C(3)$	72.6	$4.47a$)	72.8	$4.47a$)	
$H - C(4)$	74.2	$4.29a$)	74.1	$4.27a$)	
$H - C(5)$	69.9	$4.32a$)	69.8	$4.29a$)	
Me(6)	18.5	$1.68^{\rm a}$)	18.4	1.57 $(d, J = 5.6)$	
6 -O-Rha (Rha II):					
$H-C(1)$	103.7	5.34 (br. s)			
$H-C(2)$	72.8	4.48 (br. s)			
$H-C(3)$	72.9	$4.42a$)			
$H - C(4)$	73.9	$4.28a$)			
$H - C(5)$	70.2	$4.28a$)			
Me(6)	18.5	$1.66^{\rm a}$)			
$24-O-Glc$:					
$H - C(1)$	104.7	5.37 $(d, J = 8.1)$	104.8	5.45 $(d, J=8.1)$	
$H-C(2)$	75.3	3.99 $(t, J = 8.3)$	75.7	$4.01a$)	
$H - C(3)$	78.3	$4.15^{\rm a}$)	78.8	$4.17a$)	
$H - C(4)$	72.1	$4.24a$)	71.7	$4.16a$)	
$H-C(5)$	77.7	$3.76 - 3.80$ (<i>m</i>)	77.9	$3.82 - 3.86$ (<i>m</i>)	
CH ₂ (6)	63.5	4.39 $(H_a)^a$,	63.0	4.35 (dd, $J = 11.7, 5.2, H_a$),	
		4.47 $(H_6)^a$		4.47 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 2.8, H ₈)	
$25-O-Xyl$:					
$H-C(1)$	99.2	4.98 $(d, J = 7.3)$	99.1	4.96 $(d, J = 7.7)$	
$H-C(2)$	75.6	3.97 $(d, J = 8.5)$	75.2	$3.97a$)	
$H - C(3)$	78.9	$4.14a$)	78.4	$4.13a$)	
$H - C(4)$	71.3	$4.22a$)	71.2	$4.21a$)	
CH ₂ (5)	67.1	3.69 $(t, J=10.7, H_a)$,	67.0	3.68 $(t, J=10.7, H_a)$,	
		4.30 $(H_{\beta})^a$		4.30 $(H_\beta)^a$	
		a) Overlapped signals are reported without designated multiplicity. b) Not determined.			

Table 2. ¹³C-NMR and ¹H-NMR Data (150 and 600 MHz, resp.; (D_5) pyridine) *of the Sugar Moieties of* **1** and 2, from 1D- and 2D-NMR Experiments^a). δ in ppm, *J* in Hz.

the negative-ion-mode ESI-MS experiment, which exhibited a quasimolecular-ion peak at m/z 1119 ($[M-H]$ ⁻) indicating a M_r of 1120. Other fragment-ion peaks were observed at *m*/z 1076 ($[M-H-43]$ ⁻), 987 ($[M-H-132]$ ⁻), 914 ($[M-H-43 162]$ ⁻), 843 ([M – H – 162 – 132 + H₂O]⁻), 713 ([M – H – 146 – 146 – 132 + H₂O]⁻), and 654 ($[M - H - 43 - 162 - 146 - 132 + H_2O]$). These results revealed the sucessive loss of one Ac, one pentosyl, one hexosyl, and two deoxyhexosyl moieties, respectively. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1 showed seven caracteristic Me signals typical for cycloartane-type saponins, at $\delta(H)$ 0.90, 1.00, 1.10, 1.19, 1.51, 1.67 (s, each) and 1.04 $(d, J = 6.0 \text{ Hz})$ as well as the two characteristic H-atom signals of the cyclopropane ring at $\delta(H)$ 0.36 and 0.49 (each d, $J = 3.2$ Hz) (Table 1). The NMR data, based on correlations observed in the COSY, ROESY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra, allowed the identification of the aglycone of 1 as cycloasgenin C (= $(3\beta, 6\alpha, 16\beta, 24R)$ -9,19-cyclolanostane-3,6,16,24,25-pentol), previously isolated from Astragalus taschkendicus Bunge, but only partial NMR assignments were reported [13]. The presence of an AcO function was shown by a signal in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum (*Table 1*) at δ (C) 170.7, an additional s in the ¹H-NMR spectra at δ (H) 2.10, and a cross-peak in the HMBC plot between $\delta(H)$ 2.10 and $\delta(C)$ 170.7. The position of the AcO group was deduced from the chemical shift of C(16) (δ (C) 75.9) compared to that of the OH-substituted C(16) in 2 (δ (C) 71.5) and examples from the literature [5], as well as from the δ (H) of H–C(16) at lower field in 1 than in 2. In the literature, the ¹³C-NMR chemical shift of the OH-substituted $C(24)$ has been used for the determination of the absolute configuration at this position $[14 - 16]$. While a $(24R)$ configuration gives a resonance at $\delta(C)$ 80.0 – 80.5 [13] [17], a (24S) configuration gives a resonance at $\delta(C)$ 77.0 – 77.2 [18]. The deshielded chemical shift of C(24) of 1 at δ (C) 86.1 indicated a glycosylation at this position, which is in good agreement with the literature data of eremophiloside A $(=(3\beta, 6\alpha, 16\beta, 24R)$ -16- (acetyloxy) -3,6-bis $[(6-\text{deoxy-}\alpha-\text{L-mannopyranosyl})\alpha \text{xy}]$ -25- $(\beta$ -D-xylopyranosyloxy)-9,19-cyclolanostan-24-yl 6-deoxy- β -D-galactopyranoside) [5] and led us to the conclusion that the absolute configuration at $C(24)$ of **1** is (R) (Fig.). The four anomeric H-atom signals at $\delta(H)$ 5.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 5.34 (br. s), 5.28 (br. s), and 4.98 $(d, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz})$ which gave correlations in the HSQC spectrum with four signals of anomeric C-atoms at δ (C) 104.7, 103.7, 104.6, and 99.2, respectively, indicated the presence of four sugar units in 1 (*Table 2*). Complete assignment of the ${}^{1}H$ - and ¹³C-NMR signals of the aglycone moiety of 1 revealed glycosylation shifts at $\delta(C)$ 88.2 (Agly C(3)), 79.4 (Agly C(6)), 86.1 (Agly C(24)), and 82.2 (Agly C(25)) (Table 1). These data, in combination with the absence of any ¹³C glycosylation shifts for the Catoms of the four sugar units led us to suggest that 1 has a tetradesmosidic structure. Complete assignments of the ${}^{1}H$ - and ${}^{13}C$ -NMR signals of the sugar moieties by ${}^{1}H,{}^{1}H$ -COSY, TOCSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments (Table 2) allowed the identification of two α -rhamnopyranosyl (Rha I and Rha II), one β -glucopyranosyl (Glc), and one β xylopyranosyl (Xyl) unit. The establishment of the linkage of the sugar units to the aglycone was shown by the HMBCs $\delta(H)$ 5.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, Glc H–C(1))/ $\delta(C)$ 86.1 $(Agly C(24)), \delta(H)$ 5.34 (br. s, Rha II H–C(1))/ $\delta(C)$ 79.4 $(Agly C(6)), \delta(H)$ 5.28 (br. s, Rha I H–C(1))/ δ (C) 88.2 (Agly C(3)), and δ (H) 4.98 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, Xyl H–C(1))/ δ (C) 82.2 (Agly $C(25)$). On the basis of the above results, the structure of compound 1 was elucidated as $(3\beta, 6\alpha, 16\beta, 24R)$ -16-(acetyloxy)-3,6-bis(α -L-rhamnopyranosyloxy)-25-(β -D-xylopyranosyloxy)cycloartan-24-yl- β -D-glucopyranoside, named ciceroside A (*Fig.*).

Ciceroside B (2) was determined as $C_{47}H_{78}O_{18}$ by HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode) showing a quasimolecular-ion peak $[M + Na]$ ⁺ at m/z 953.5080 (calc. 953.5086) and by the negative-ion-mode ESI-MS showing a quasimolecular-ion peak at m/z 929 ([M – H ⁻) in accordance with an M_r of 930. Other fragment-ion peaks were observed at m/z 783 ([M – H – 146]⁻) and 639 ([M – H – 146 – 162 + H₂O]⁻) suggesting the successive loss of one pentosyl and one hexosyl unit. ¹H-NMR Signals of three anomeric H-atoms at $\delta(H)$ 5.45 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), 5.35 (br. s), and 4.96 (d, J = 7.7 Hz) giving correlations with three signals of anomeric C-atoms at δ (C) 104.8, 104.7, and 99.1, respectively, indicated the presence of three sugar units (Table 2). Complete assignments of the ${}^{1}H$ - and ${}^{13}C$ -NMR signals of the sugar moieties, assigned by extensive 2D-NMR experiments, led to the identification of one α -rhamnopyranosyl (Rha), one β -glucopyranosyl (Glc), and one β -xylopyranosyl (Xyl) unit. Full

assignment of the 1 H- and 13 C-NMR signals of the aglycone moiety of 2 showed glycosylation shifts at $\delta(C)$ 83.8 (Agly C(3)), 87.2 (Agly C(24)), and 82.1 (Agly C(25)) (Table 1). These data, in combination with the absence of any ¹³C glycosylation shifts for the C-atoms of the three sugar units, led us to deduce that 2 has a tridesmosidic structure. The establishment of the linkage of the sugar units to the aglycone was shown by the HMBCs $\delta(H)$ 5.45 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, Glc H–C(1))/ $\delta(C)$ 87.2 (Agly C(24)), $\delta(H)$ 5.35 (br. s, Rha H–C(1))/ δ (C) 83.8 (Agly C(3)), and δ (H) 4.96 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, Xyl H–C(1))/ δ (C) 82.1 (Agly C(25)). The NMR data based on correlations observed in the COSY, ROESY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra of the aglycone moiety of 2 were in good agreement with those of huangqiyegenin II $(=(3\beta, 16\beta, 24S)$ -3,16,24,25-tetrahydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-6-one) [19], exept for the configuration at position C(24). The comparison of the chemical shift of C(24) of $2(\delta(C) 87.2)$ with that of $1(\delta(C) 86.1)$ and that of eremophiloside A $(\delta(C) 86.8)$ [5] led us to deduce the absolute configuration of this asymmetric center to be (R) . The presence of a keto function in 2 was shown by the signal in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum at δ (C) 212.4. Its location at position C(6) was suggested by the presence of a s in the ¹H-NMR spectrum at δ (H) 3.31 (s, Agly H–C(5)) and confirmed by HMBC cross-peaks between $\delta(H)$ 3.31 (s, Agly H–C(5)), 2.33 (Agly CH₂(7)), and 2.65 (*dd*, *J* = 7.3, 4.06, Agly H–C(8)) and δ (C) 212.4 (Agly $C(6)$), respectively. Thus, 2 was established as $(3\beta, 16\beta, 24R)$ -24-(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)-16-hydroxy-3-(α -L-rhamnopyranosyloxy)-25-(β -D-xylopyranosyloxy)cycloartan-6one, named ciceroside B.

The presence of tridesmosidic cycloartane-type saponins in the genus Astragalus was shown in some species $[1][4][20-23]$, whereas the presence of tetradesmosidic structural analogs seems to be very rare in the genus Astragalus [5].

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia). Vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC): polyamide SC 6 (50 – 160 µm, Macherey-Nagel). Flash chromatography (FC): CombiFlash (Serlabo Technologies); RetiSep C-18 reversed-phase $130 g (40-63 \mu m;$ Teledyne ISCO); silica gel (SiO₂; $30 - 70 \,\mu\text{m}$) glass column (180 \times 50 mm). Medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC): SiO, 60 (15 – 40 µm; Merck), HPLC pump 426 (Alltech), fraction collector Biotech SuperFrac (Pharmacia); $SiO₂$ $RP-18$ Spherical C18 (300 Å, 75 – 200 µm; Silicycle), pump module C-601 (Biichi), fraction collector C-660 (Büchi), Büchi glass columns (460 × 25 mm and 460 × 15 mm), Büchi precolumn (110 × 15 mm). Gas chromatography (GC): Termoquest gas chromatograph with FID; DB-1701 cap. column (30 m \times 0.25 mm; J & W Scientific); detector temp.: 80° for 5 min, then increase to 270° at 15°/min, carrier gas He. TLC: SiO₂ plate F-254 (60 Å; Silicycle) or SiO₂ 60 F_{254} (Merck) for high performance; eluent CHCl₃/ MeOH/H₂O 70:23:4 (a); detection with *Komarowsky* reagent, *i.e.*, 2% 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde in MeOH/50% H₂SO₄ soln. 5:1 (1), followed by heating. Optical rotation: AA-OR automatic polarimeter. 1D- and 2D-NMR Spectra: Varian Inova-600 (600 MHz) NMR spectrometer; δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si as internal standard, J in Hz. ESI- (neg.) and HR-ESI-MS (pos.): Q -TOF-1 micromass spectrometer; in m/z (rel. %).

Plant Material. The aerial parts of A. cicer L. were collected at the Combe de Malaval, Les Freaux (Hautes-Alpes), France, in 2006. The roots of A. sempervirens Lam. were collected near the village of Saint-Disdier en Dy (Hautes-Alpes), France, in 2005. Two voucher specimens (N° 24032010, A. cicer L.; N° 6627, A. sempervirens LAM.) were deposited with the Herbarium of the Laboratory of Pharmacognosy, Burgundy University, Dijon, France. Both species were identified by Gérard Ducerf, Promonature, Briant, France. The roots of A. ptilodes Boiss. var. cariensis Boiss. were collected from the montain of Spil (1450 m), Manisa, Turkey, in July 1993 and identified by $Ozcan$ Seçmen, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey. A voucher specimen (EGE 19568) was deposited with the Herbarium of Ege University.

Extraction and Isolation. The air-dried and powdered aerial parts of A. cicer L. $(390 g)$ were subjected to a maceration with 70% MeOH for 94 h, and then the residue of the maceration in MeOH was refluxed twice for 1 h at 70 – 80° (2 \times 3 l). After filtration of the combined MeOH solns., the solvent was evaporated yielding 5.120 g of extract. This MeOH extract was dissolved in H₂O (300 ml), and successively partitioned with petroleum ether $(3 \times 300 \text{ ml})$, CH₂Cl₂ ($4 \times 300 \text{ ml}$), and BuOH sat. with $H₂O$ (5 × 300 ml). The BuOH extract (4.320 g) was purified by CC (Sephadex LH-20, MeOH) yielding one main fraction (3.950 g) rich in saponins. The latter was subjected to FC ($RP-18$, MeOH/H₂O $60:40 \rightarrow 100:0$; 10.0 ml/min): Fractions 1–6. Fr. 3 (117.7 mg) was subjected to MPLC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/ MeOH/H₂O 80:20:2, 70:23:4, 64:40:8, and 0:100:0; 3 ml/min): Frs. 3.1 – 3.9. Fr. 3.6 afforded 2 (6.7 mg) . Fr. 4 (770.3 mg) was divided in three equal parts (Frs. 4.A, 4.B, and 4.C), each of them was subjected to MPLC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O 80:20:2, 70:23:4, 64:40:8, and 0:100:0; 5 ml/min) to yield a total of 31 fractions, Frs. $4.A1 - 4.A11$, Frs. $4.B1 - 4.B11$, and Frs. $4.C1 - 4.C9$. Fr. $4.C6$ gave eremophiloside $B = (3\beta, 6\alpha, 16\beta, 24R) - 16-(\text{acetyloxy}) - 24-hydroxy-25-(\beta-D-xylopyranosyloxy) - 9,19-cyclo$ lanostane-3,6-diyl bis[6-deoxy-a-l-mannopyranoside]; 11.7 mg): Fr. 4.A11 (22.8 mg), Fr. 4.B9 (5.2 mg), Fr. 4.B10 (4.1 mg), and Fr. 4.C8 (12.4 mg) were combined, giving the new fraction Fr. 4.X1 (44.5 mg), which was submitted to MPLC (RP-18, MeOH/H₂O 40:60 \rightarrow 100:0; 3 ml/min): affording Frs. 4.X1.1 – 4.*X1.5. Fr. 4.X.1.5* gave 1 (7.1 mg).

The dried and powdered roots of A. sempervirens Lam. (320 g) were subjected to a maceration with 70% MeOH for 20 h, and then the residue of the maceration in MeOH was refluxed twice for 1 h at 70 – 80° (2 \times 3 l). After filtration of the combined MeOH soln., the solvent was evaporated. The residue of the MeOH extract was dissolved in H₂O (200 ml) and partitioned with BuOH sat. with H₂O (5×200 ml) to yield 19.240 g. Part of the latter (12 g) was purified by FC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O 90:10:0, 80:20:0, 75 : 20 : 0, 80 : 20 : 0, 80 : 20 : 2, 70 : 23 : 4, 64 : 40 : 8, 30 : 60 : 10, and 0 : 100 : 0; 50 ml/min): Fractions A – M. An aliquot of Fr. I (334 mg) and J (301 mg) were respectively subjected to several separations by MPLC $(SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O 80:20:2, 70:23:4, 64:40:8, and 0:100:0; and SiO₂ RP-18, MeOH/H₂O$ $40:60 \rightarrow 100:0$ and $75:25 \rightarrow 100:0$) giving together a total of 32 fractions. After combination of three of them, the new fraction (60 mg) was submitted to two successive purifications by MPLC ($RP-18$, MeOH/ H_2O 75:25 \rightarrow 90:10 and 55:45 \rightarrow 80:20): (3 β ,6a,16 β ,20R,24S)-20,24-epoxy-25-(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)-6,16-dihydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-3-yl 2-O-α-L-arabinopyranosyl-β-D-xylopyranoside (9.2 mg).

The dried and powdered roots of A. ptilodes Boiss. var. cariensis Boiss. (1.5 kg) were extracted with MeOH (3×5 l) at r.t. during 24 h for each extraction, and the solvent was evaporated, yielding 64 g. This residue was dissolved in H₂O (500 ml), and successively partitioned with hexane (3×300 ml), CHCl₃ $(3 \times 300 \text{ ml})$, and BuOH sat. with H₂O $(3 \times 300 \text{ ml})$. The latter gave, after removal of the solvent, 36 g of extract. Part of the BuOH extract (5 g) was purified by VLC (polyamide, 750 ml of H₂O, 750 ml of 50% MeOH, and 1250 ml of 100% MeOH). The 100% MeOH fraction (4.010 g) was submitted to FC (RP-18, MeOH/H₂O 60:40 \rightarrow 100:0): *Fractions a – j*. An aliquot of *Fr. d* (390 mg) was separated by MPLC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O 80:20:2, 70:23:4, 64:40:8, and 0:100:0): astragaloside VII (=(3 β ,6a,16 β , $20R,24S$)-20,24-epoxy-16-hydroxy-3-(β -D-xylopyranosyloxy)-9,19-cyclolanostane-6,25-diyl bis[β -D-glucopyranoside]; 6.1 mg). A mixture of an aliquot of Fr. e and f was subjected to MPLC in the same way as Fr. d: cyclosiversiosides $E = (3\beta_0 6a, 16\beta_0 20R, 24S)$ -20,24-epoxy-16,25-dihydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostane-3,6-diyl bis[β -D-xylopyranoside]; 15.2 mg) and $F = (3\beta_0 6a, 16\beta_1 20R, 24S)$ -20,24-epoxy-16,25-dihydroxy-3-(β -D-xylopyranosyloxy)-9,19-cyclolanostan-6-yl β -D-glucopyranoside; 10.1 mg), and astragaloside I (= $(3\beta,6a,16\beta,20R,24S)$ -3- $(2,3$ -di-O-acetyl- β -D-xylopyranosyl)oxy]-20,24-epoxy-16,25-dihydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-6-yl β -D-glucopyranoside; 11.8 mg) and cyclosiversioside A (=(3 β ,6a,16 β ,20R,24R)- $3-[(2,3-di-O-acetyl-\beta-D-xylopyranosyloxy]-20,24-epoxy-16,25-dihydroxy-9,19-cyclolanostan-O-yl/β-D-xy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,25-dihydroxy-16,$ lopyranoside; 7.3 mg).

Acid Hydrolysis and GC Analysis. Each compound (3 mg) was hydrolyzed with 2N aq. CF₃COOH (5 ml) for 3 h at 95°. After extraction with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 5 ml), the aq. layer was repeatedly concentrated with MeOH until neutral and then analyzed by TLC (SiO₂, CHCl₃/MeOH/H₂O 8:5:1) by comparison with authentic samples. The (trimethylsilyl)thiazolidine derivatives of the sugar residue of each compound were prepared and analyzed by GC [24]. L-Rhamnose, D-glucose, and D-xylose were detected in each case (1 and 2) by co-injection of the hydrolyzate with standard silylated samples, giving single peaks at $t_{\rm p}$ 13.16, 18.54, and 13.43 min, resp.

(3b,6a,16b,24R)-16-(Acetyloxy)-3,6-bis[(6-deoxy-a-l-mannopyranosyl)oxy]-25-(b-d-xylopyranosyl oxy)-9,19-cyclolanostan-24-yl β -D-Glucopyranoside (= Ciceroside A; 1): White amorphous powder. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_5^2 = +3.2$ (c = 0.18, MeOH). TLC (a): R_f 0.27. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2. ESI-MS (neg.): 1076 $([M-H-43]^-)$, 987 $([M-H-132]^-)$, 914 $([M-H-43-162]^-)$, 843 $([M-H-162-132+18]^-)$, 713 ([M – H – 146 – 146 – 132 + 18]⁻), 654 ([M – H – 43 – 162 – 146 – 132 + 18]⁻).

(3b,16b,24R)-3-[(6-Deoxy-a-l-mannopyranosyl)oxy]-24-(b-d-glucopyranosyloxy)-16-hydroxy-25- (β -D-xylopyranosyloxy)-9,19-cyclolanostan-6-one (= Ciceroside B; 2). White amorphous powder. [α] $_D^{25}$ = $+9.5$ (c = 0.18, MeOH). TLC (a): R_f 0.52. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2. ESI-MS (neg.): 783 ([M – $H-146$]⁻), 638 ([$M-H-146-163+18$]⁻).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Verotta, N. A. El-Sebakhy, Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem. 2001, 25, 179.
- [2] J. L. Rios, P. G.Waterman, Phytother. Res. 1997, 11, 411.
- [3] J. Linnek, A.-C. Mitaine-Offer, T. Miyamoto, O. Duchamp, J.-F. Mirjolet, M.-A. Lacaille-Dubois, Nat. Prod. Commun. 2009, 4, 477.
- [4] E. Bedir, I. Çalis, R. Aquino, S. Piacente, C. Pizza, Phytochemistry 1999, 51, 1017.
- [5] A. Perrone, M. Masullo, C. Bassarello, E. Bloise, A. Hamed, P. Nigro, C. Pizza, S. Piacente, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 5061.
- [6] I. Çaliş, A. A. Dönmez, A. Perrone, C. Pizza, S. Piacente, Phytochemistry 2008, 69, 2634.
- [7] I. Kitagawa, H. K. Wang, M. Saito, A. Takagi, M. Yoshikawa, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 698.
- [8] I. Kitagawa, H. K. Wang, M. Yoshikawa, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 716.
- [9] A. N. Svechnikova, R. U. Umarova, N. D. Abdullaev, M. B. Gorovits, N. K. Abubakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1982, 5, 629.
- [10] A. N. Svechnikova, R. U. Umarova, M. B. Gorovits, N. K. Abubakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1982, 2, 204.
- [11] A. N. Svechnikova, R. U. Umarova, M. B. Gorovits, N. D. Abdullaev, N. K. Abubakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1982, 2, 208.
- [12] Y. Mimaki, A. Yokosuka, M. Hamanaka, C. Sakuma, T. Yamori, Y. Sashida, J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1511.
- [13] M. I. Isaev, M. B. Gorovits, N. D. Abdullaev, N. K. Abubakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1982, 4, 458.
- [14] J. S. Kim, M.-H. Yean, E.-J. Lee, H. S. Jung, J. Y. Lee, Y. J. Kim, S. S. Kang, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2008, 56, 105.
- [15] E. Bedir, I. Calis, I. A. Khan, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 1081.
- [16] M. Hirotani, Y. Zhou, H. Rui, T. Furuya, *Phytochemistry* 1994, 37, 1403.
- [17] M. I. Isaev, M. B. Gorovits, N. D. Abdullaev, N. K. Abubakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1984, 6, 732.
- [18] Y. M. Fadeev, M. I. Isaev, Yu. A. Akimov, P. K. Kintya, M. B. Gorovits, N. K. Abubakirov, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1987, 817.
- [19] Y. Ma, Z. Tian, H. Kuang, C. Yuan, C. Shao, K. Ohtani, R. Kasai, O. Tanaka, Y. Okada, T. Okuyama, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 45, 359.
- [20] E. Polat, O. Caliskan-Alankus, A. Perrone, S. Piacente, E. Bedir, Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 628.
- [21] I. Calis, H. Yusufoglu, O. Zerbe, O. Sticher, *Phytochemistry* 1999, 50, 843.
- [22] E. Bedir, I. Çalis, R. Aquino, S. Piacente, C. Pizza, J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 563.
- [23] Y. Zhou, M. Hirotani, H. Rui, T. Furuya, Phytochemistry 1995, 38, 1407.
- [24] M. Haddad, T. Miyamoto, V. Laurens, M.-A. Lacaille-Dubois, J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 372.

Received April 15, 2010